Qualification Accredited



A LEVEL

Examiners' report

HISTORY A

H505For first teaching in 2015

Y107/01 Summer 2019 series

Version 1

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper Y107/01 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Question 1	5
Section B overview	7
Question 2	7
Question 3	9



Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Save As Other . . . and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf* to word converter).



We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

Paper Y107/01 series overview

There are thirteen British period study and enquiry units from which to choose. Each unit has two elements: a Period study and an Enquiry. The Enquiry element either precedes or continues the Period Study element so as to provide increased coherence and coverage of the chosen period of British history. The Enquiry element consists of one compulsory question based on four sources where candidate are required to explain and evaluate the sources using both contextual knowledge and provenance to reach a judgement about the sources in relation to the question. The Period study element is assessed through essays, with candidates choosing one question from a choice of two.

To do well on Section A, candidates need to be able to consider both provenance of the sources and apply contextual knowledge to them in order to reach a judgement about the sources in relation to the issue in the question.

To do well on Section B, candidates need to address the issue in the question using detailed supporting knowledge. In order to reach the higher levels candidates will need to assess the issues they discuss and reach a supported judgement at least in the conclusion.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:

- considered the provenance of the source(s) and used relevant contextual knowledge
- linked the contextual knowledge clearly to the source being discussed to show whether the view of the source was valid or not
- reached an overall judgement as to the extent to which the sources supported the view in the guestion
- in answering the essay question, discussed at least two issues in depth
- gave supporting detail that was both accurate and relevant to the question set, not just the topic
- reached a supported judgement about the issue in the question
- made a series of interim judgements about the issues discussed in relation to the question.

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:

- did not consider both the provenance and did not use contextual knowledge to evaluate the sources
- wrote an unbalanced answer in their treatment of the sources, with very little consideration of one of the sources
- reached a judgement based on their knowledge rather than the sources
- showed a poor understanding of the major issues relevant to the essay
- were unable to support their answer with relevant material
- did not focus on the precise wording of the question
- made unsupported comments about issues which were assertions.

Section A overview

The focus in this section is on the critical use of evidence in investigating and assessing historical questions, problems and issues. The focus may be on depth of one key topic or breadth, using parts of several key topics for the evaluation of a theme. The critical evaluation of sources is central to this element, with all marks given against AO2. The sources selected are all written and contemporary to the period. Candidates have to evaluate four sources, answering one question which sets the sources in their historical context.

Question 1

Mid Tudor Crises 1547-1558

1 Using these four sources in their historical context, assess how far they support the view that enclosure was the main cause of unrest in 1549.
[30]

Although all of the sources made some reference to enclosure, most responses were able to argue that not all of the sources argued that it was the main cause. There were a number of responses that drifted to how serious the unrest was and did not focus on causes, which was essential if a high level was to be achieved. In discussing Source A, many argued that it did put forward it was the most important cause, pointing to 'have sent commissioners to reform enclosures' and suggesting that unless it was the main cause the Privy council would have not responded this way. Some also suggested that Privy Council would be anxious to deal with the main cause and suppress the unrest because of problems elsewhere and the concerns in Scotland. However, many were confused over the reference to the Bible and thought that meant either Kett's rebellion was about religion or confused Kett with the Western. The provenance was usually linked to the government wanting to deal with the main cause and therefore it was reliable and some linked this to the Kett/Flowerdew dispute. In dealing with Source B many responses commented that this source suggested there were a number of causes, including enclosure, including foodstuffs and religion. The reference to unrest in Devon and Cornwall allowed many to discuss the causes of the Western rebellion with some aware of the earlier demands that included reference to enclosures and the sheep tax. Discussion of the provenance varied from the simplistic comments about the role of the ambassador to discussion as to why he mentioned the issue of religion. Source C, on the surface appeared to be the most straightforward with responses stressing it mentioned enclosure and greed, the latter allowed contextual knowledge about class war to be used and there were particularly good examples of this from the Western rebellion. However, the provenance was a greater challenge and knowledge of the Commonwealthmen was very limited, with some suggesting because he was from the Commonwealth he would be abroad and therefore not know about events. The final source, Source D, caused some challenge as many did not pick up on the date and how that might have influenced the content. It was written in 1550 when Northumberland was gaining power and this may well have influenced the comments made in the last part of the source.

There were a number of very strong responses, as illustrated in Exemplar 1, where responses explained the source in relation to the question and evaluated using both contextual knowledge and provenance in order to reach a judgement as to how far the sources supported the view that enclosure was the main cause, taking the response shown into Level 6.

Exemplar 1

In conclusion, the Sources generally do support the University enclosure was the main carrier unrest in 1549, despite there being other reasons too. The sources that focus on enclosure primerily sources thank I C and A, do have a weaker provision be; source D bas a slightly stronger provision and some B the strongest. However they all suggest that enclosure was a definite cause of invest, if not the main cause. Therefore, considering the sources and their provisione, it is fair to say that sallectively they support the year that enclosure way the main cause of invest in 1549. The fact that many of the attacks from these religious were on gentry, land lovels and their enclosures, suggests that this view has much validity.

Section B overview

The two questions are drawn from different key topics and candidates are required to recall, select and deploy appropriate knowledge and communicate this clearly and effectively. Candidates are expected to demonstrate abilities to explain, assess, analyse and reach substantiated judgements.

Question 2

Elizabethan England

2* How serious was the Catholic threat in the period from 1558 to 1589?

[20]

This was the more popular question and it gave candidates the opportunity to explore and analyse a large range of issues. Responses considered the start of Elizabeth's reign and the difficulty experienced in getting her settlement through parliament and this often led on to a discussion of the foreign threat in 1558-9. The strongest answers were adopted a balanced approach in their discussion of Philip and Spain, with the best answers arguing that Philip would not remove Elizabeth to see the French-backed Mary Stuart (Queen of Scots) on the throne. There was usually discussion of the threat from the papacy with many noting how long it took for the Pope to excommunicate Elizabeth, which allowed her to become more secure, lessening the threat. This was often linked to the arrival of Mary Stuart and the rising of the Northern Earls, the discussion of which was usually quite detailed, even if some thought Norfolk was rebelling with Westmoreland. In discussing Mary, there was also consideration of the plots with many arguing that they were not a threat because of the work of Walsingham. Many answers, particularly at the lower mark range focused on Mary and then the Armada, with discussion usually lacking balance. Some responses did drift into long accounts of the Armada, but in the strongest answers it was used to show how Elizabeth was able to nullify the Catholic threat by 1589 and even use it to create a sense of national unity. Responses also considered the threat of the Jesuits and seminary priests, as is shown in Exemplar 2 below, where there is good analysis of an issue and interim judgement is reached. If the response reached similar interim judgements about the issues discussed and then an overall judgement, it would reach Level 6.

Exemplar 2

In addition the amucel of semenary prosts
and sessints too person a threat to
elizabeth but this threat uses meech mere
easily centained. The amind a seminary
prosts in England in 1574 and lexits
in 1580 were loss concerned with directly
threatonine Elizabeth's position, but with
guedinade Cit Zooiezett , inter active

stronghoning rathologism throughout the country,
thus gairlibating paintrail religious unrest.
flaverer, Elizabeth was grainly oncek to
reall to those threats as sho impossed a
lew in 1581 theet meeds nen-attendance
at anexuean chunh sensie as peinisheible in
the term of fines thes essenticully undominal
or at least received the milk of the
doctrinal referre by the Jaints and
seminary prosts as it enjened cocelespread
committement to procestant believe scriberly
in 1585, the actino barning qui Sescit
arbitisty in England roa to some 133
desints being presented as their presence
in England became an openio.
This suggests that Fliscobeth was feeling
queek to recent to the controlic threat
and shaved cimited mildress in their
wordten. Whilst the cather extreme actions
does reveal semething about the seventy of
the threat they persent to Elizabeth her.
- (
reactionary moassures ensured that such threats
<i>1</i>
were conscienced before modernalisine, into a
<i>1</i>

Question 3

3* 'Unrest and rebellion never seriously threatened Elizabeth I in the period after 1588.' How far do you agree?
[20]

This was a much less popular question. The strongest answers did discuss food riots and the Oxfordshire rising, using these to argue that rebellion was never a threat because of the measures Elizabeth had taken to deal with social challenges, such as poor harvests and poverty. The question was about unrest and the Essex rebellion provided the opportunity to discuss factional and parliamentary unrest in the period, which was taken up by the strongest answers, with discussion of monopolies and Elizabeth's Golden Speech featuring in some answers. The strongest responses balanced the apparent lack of threat from rebellion and unrest with the decline in Elizabeth's popularity due to her age, suggesting that by the turn of the century many were simply waiting for a new monarch. Some candidates misread the question and wrote about the whole of Elizabeth's reign, while some were very narrow in focus, considering just Essex and Tyrone.

Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.



Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level*
- · compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit <u>ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/</u>

Find out more at ocr.org.uk/activeresults

CPD Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Customer Support Centre

General qualifications

Telephone 01223 553998 Facsimile 01223 552627

Email general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



